Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		10/797,084	AN ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Robert J. Sandy	3677		
All Participants:	I Participants: Status of Application: pending				
(1) Robert J. Sandy.		(3)			
(2) Carol Druzbick (Reg. No. 40,287).		(4) Time: _9:30 am_			
Date of Interview: 16 July 2007 Time: 9.30 am					
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)					
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description: .					
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed:					
Claims discussed:					
Prior art documents discussed:					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet					
Part III.					
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 					
			•		
(building		,	,		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/A	t/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussion to amend specification to provide support for the claimed terminology pertaining to "a spring-loaded step configured to releasably lock the base in a predetermined position. Authorization was given to amend paragraph [0084] of the specification accordingly. See Examiner Amendment.